RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 2 8 2005

## **OBLON** SPIVAK McClelland MAIER NEUSTADT P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

**1940 DUKE STREET** ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 USA

(703) 413-3000 (703) 419-2220 FACSIMILE OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

WWW.OBLON.COM

## **FACSIMILE**

то	Examiner Anatoly Vortman	6/28/05
	NAME U.S. PTO	703-872-9306
	COMPANY/FIRM	FAX#
	NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:3_	CONFIRM FAX: YES NO
FROM	Edwin D. Garlepp	237539US6YA
	NAME 703-412-5920	OUR REFERENCE 10/670,292
	DIRECT PHONE #	YOUR REFERENCE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

Examiner Vortman.

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

Further to our telephone discussion, attached please find a summary of the points for discussion in our personal interview. Please contact me for scheduling the personal interview.

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

Interview Agenda for Application Serial No. 10/670,292

I. Applicants propose to amend Claim 1 as shown below.

Claim 1: A substrate holder for supporting a substrate, comprising: an exterior supporting surface configured to support said substrate;

a cooling component positioned within an interior of the substrate holder;

a heating component positioned within said interior of the substrate holder adjacent to the supporting surface and between an opposite side of the supporting surface and the cooling component; and

a contact volume positioned between the heating component and the cooling component, and formed by a first internal surface and a second internal surface,

wherein a thermal conductivity between the heating component and the cooling component is increased when the contact volume is provided with a fluid.

This amendment is believed to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Moreover, the primary reference to <u>Brzezinski</u> does not show the features of amended Claim 1.

- II. Regarding the objections to the drawings, Applicants propose to amend Claim 35 to eliminate any reference to the plasma. Applicants would also like to discuss how the Examiner wishes for the "external heat flux" and "heating component being absent" features to be shown in the drawings.
- III. With regard to the rejection of Claims 4-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, Applicants note that the specification explains that a "rough" surface is a polished surface that has undergone a roughening process, such as sandblasting. Applicants submit

that this explanation provides a sufficient standard for one of ordinary skill in the art to understand the meaning of a rough surface as opposed to a smooth surface.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Edwin D. Garlepp